Highlights of the Baltimore Ecosystem Study: Urban Legends and Improved Management for Cities S.T.A. Pickett¹, J.M. Grove², P.M Groffman¹, L.E. Band³, C.G. Boone⁴, G.S. Brush⁵, W.R. Burch, Jr⁶., M.L. Cadenasso¹, J.L. Hom⁷, J.C. Jenkins⁸, N. Law³, C.H. Nilon⁹, R.V. Pouyat¹⁰, K. Szlavecz⁵, P.S. Warren¹¹, and M.A. Wilson⁸.

Institute of Ecosystem Studies, Millbrook NY 12454, 2. USDA Forest Service, Burlington VT, PA, 3. University of Vermont, Burlington VT, 9. University, Athens OH, 5. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, 6. Yale University, New Haven CT, 7. USDA Forest Service, Newtown Square, PA, 8. Gund Institute, University of Vermont, Burlington VT, 9. University, Athens OH, 5. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, 6. Yale University, New Haven CT, 7. USDA Forest Service, Burlington VT, 9. University of Vermont, Burlington VT, 9. University, Athens OH, 5. Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore MD, 6. Yale University, Baltim of Missouri, Columbia MO, 10, USDA Forest Service, Syracuse NY, 11, Virgina Tech University, Blacksburg VA,

Abstract

Although urban areas are the dominant habitat of humans in this century, management and design have a scant ecological foundation. We identify nine assumptions that may be held by managers and decision makers concerned with urban systems. These assumptions involve ecological, hydrological, and social processes, and the interactions among them. We use ongoing research from the Baltimore Ecosystem Study LTER to counter or question these assumptions. We highlight a management implication for each of the assumptions examined.

Figure 1. The Baltimore, Maryland metropolitan area, comprising Baltimore Cit and County and the Counties of Anne Arundel, Carrol, Montgomery, and Harford



Legend 1: Ecological Processes are Overwhelmed by Human Alterations in Urban Areas

•N retention in suburban watersheds is 75%, a level similar to natural systems1 ·Management can reduce nitrogen export from suburban watersheds by maintaining and increasing natural retention processes in open areas.

Table 1. Nitrogen budget for suburban,
forested, and agricultural components
of the Baltimore Metropolitan area.
Retention is estimated as the difference
between regional atmospheric
deposition, fertilizer use, and fluxes in
stream flow of the Gwynns Falls.

Inputs				
Atmosphere	8.7	8.7	8.7	1
Fertilizer	13.9	0	100	
TOTAL	22.6	8.7	108.7	1
				1
Outputs				
Streamflow	6.5	0.52	16.4	
				1
Retention				
Mass	16.1	8.2	92.3	1

94

Figure 2. The percentage of surveyed households that agree

that air quality is "not a problem" is not significantly

and neighborhoods with low social capital.

different between neighborhoods with high social capital

- ko N ha⁻¹ v⁻¹ -

Suburban Forested

Aariculture

85

in the city.

Legend 2: Class, Income, and Ethnicity Explain Levels of Concern about Environmental Ouality.

Percent

71

·Environmental quality is assumed to be a "luxury good"2

. No significant difference for resident "awareness" or "concern for water or air quality" based upon household income

·Management must account for concern about the environment among all socio-economic groups, but recognize that the motivations may differ.

Percent Agree that Air Quality is "Not a Prob



Legend 3: The Diversity of Urban Biota is Low and Lacks Value

•New soil invertebrate species discovered3-5 ·Pockets of rare plants exist6. ·Biodiversity varies widely across the urban matrix. ·Management can exploit spatial variance in urban biodiversity, and promote functionally



Legend 4: Environmental Inequities Affect Only Non-whites

•Whites are more likely than blacks to live near Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) sites7. •Management to mitigate TRI sites can help all groups in Baltimore. Concerns about environmental justice can unify groups.



Figure 4. Left Panel: Correlation of Toxic Release Inventory (TRI) sites with ethnicity in Baltimore City. Right Panel: Correlation of TRI sites with industrial zoning in Baltimore City.

egend 5: Urban Social Systems Are Unaffected by Environmental Change Fraditions in ecology and social sciences neglect feedbacks between them.

 Low lying areas associated with 25% infant mortality before the construction of sewers⁸. ·Metropolitan sewers eliminated water borne diseases, increased value of the low-lying areas and initiated the migration of white, middle class people from the central city9.

·Policies that insulate social from ecological processes will have limited success, or unintended negative consequences.



Legend 6: Lawns Are Bad

•Nitrate leaching to ground water, and nitrous oxide fluxes to the atmosphere are low and comparable to forests

•Well tended lawns in underserved areas may signify social cohesion.

•The percentage of fertilized lawns is lower than expected, especially in wealthier areas10. ·Management may exploit lawns for mitigation of N pollution from urban areas.



Legend 7: Urban Land Use Change Decreases Stream Water Quality

·Nitrate and phosphate levels in streams are lower in dense urban areas than in suburban or agricultural areas11

•The N and P in urban streams results from leaky sanitary sewers, which are controllable, while suburban septic systems have an engineered N loss similar to agriculture •Transformations from agriculture to urban can reduce N and P loading of streams; transition to suburban septic systems will result in lower and more variable water quality.



Legend 8: What You See Is What you Get: Social and Ecological Processes Occur at the Same Scales

•Vegetative characteristics of neighborhoods are best explained by the social characteristics of 20 years prior12;13

·Managing the contemporary landscape may require understanding social or ecological legacies, and different rates of change in each realm.



Legend 9: Conversions to Urban Land Uses Result in a Net Carbon Loss

 Assumed that recovery of Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) in urban systems will be slow or lacking¹⁴. •SOC in urban ecosystems is highly variable, in the matrix15 ·Woody vegetation in residential areas contributes to the urban C pool16, 17

·Management of regional or global carbon sequestration may exploit pools of urban carbon.



neighborhoods in the foreground, with the Inner

Harbor skyline in the background.



Table 2. Biomass and C in forest and non-forest



Figure 10. A hypothetical diagram of ecosystem carbon dynamics from the pre-agricultural "edaphic" phase, through the agricultural and urban phases in contrasting biomes. Inter-biome variation in agricultural C and convergence in urban C is proposed. Within the urban phase for each biome, high variation is expected, with all urban areas accumulating higher C than agriculture due to introduction and maintenance of trees and shrubs

Conclusions

Unstated or untested assumptions about the structure and function of urban ecosystems may be held by managers and decision makers. We have identified nine examples, and indicated how research in the Baltimore Ecosystem Study LTER counters or questions the assumptions. While our research does not in all cases suggest that the opposite of a legend be accepted as true, questioning these assumptions does advance understanding of urban systems. We do not suggest that all people hold these assumptions, or that we have explored all the implications of each assumption here. But to the extent that such assumptions affect management decisions, the ecological effectiveness of management will be limited.

1. P. M. Groffman et al., Frontiers in Ecology and Environment 1, 315-321 (2003).

- 2. W. Beckerman, World Development 20, 481-496 (1992).
- 3. Cs. Csuzdi and K. Szlavecz, Ann.Zool Nat.Hist.Mus.Hung. 94, 193-208 (2002).
- 4. Cs. Csuzdi and K. Szlavecz, Northeastern Naturalist, in press.
- 5. E. Hornung and K. Szlavecz, Crustaceana Monographs, in press.

6. C. A. Davis, "Plant surveys and searches for rare vascular plant species at two pilot areas: Gwynns Falls/Leakin Park, Baltimore City, MD" (Natural History Society of Maryland, Baltimore MD 1999)

- 7. C. G. Boone, Urban Geography 23, 581-595 (2002).
- 8, S. E. Hinman, thesis, Ohio University, Athens Ohio (2002).
- 9. C. G. Boone, Historical Geography 31, 151-168 (2003).
- 10. N. Law, L. E. Band, P. M. Groffman, K. T. Belt, G. G. Fisher, Water Resour.Res. (2003).
- 11. P. M. Groffman, N. Law, K. T. Belt, L. E. Band, G. T. Fisher, Ecosystems (2004)
- 12. J. M. Grove, thesis, Yale University, New Haven (1996).
- 13. J. M. Grove and W. Burch, "Using patch dynamics to characterize social areas at the neighborhood level in the Baltimore Ecosystem Study" Report No. 18 2003).
- 14. P. J. Craul, Urban soil in landscape design. (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1992) 15. R. Pouyat, P. Groffman, I. Yesilonis, L. Hernandez, Environ. Pollut. 116, S107-S118 (2002)
- 16. J. C. Jenkins and R. Riemann, "What does nonforest land contribute to the global C balance?" (USDA Forest Service North Central Research Station, St. Paul, MN, 2003).
- 17. D. J. Nowak and D. E. Crane, Environ. Pollut. 116, 381-389 (2002).